CAPE FEAR COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2024-2025 BUDGET

DRAFT

5/9/2024

I am pleased to present the proposed FY 2024-2025 annual budget document for Cape Fear Council of Governments (CFCOG). As required by North Carolina law, the budget is balanced with projected revenues equal to appropriated expenditures. The budget anticipates a general fund balance equal to 28% of annual operating expenditures. The Council is in sound fiscal shape with adequate reserves.

Each program director worked with the Executive Director and Finance Director to develop their respective program budgets. This proposed budget document is the result of careful consideration by our staff based on best estimates of program revenues and appropriate expenditures to continue our operations. Overall, the budget reflects conservative revenue projections where final funding allocations are outstanding. Like our member governments, we develop our budget with a view towards providing the highest level of service at the least cost to the taxpayers.

The total proposed appropriation for FY 24-25 is \$12,230,912, representing a nearly \$1,666,833 (12%) decrease from the \$13,897,744 appropriation adopted for the prior fiscal year. This decrease is primarily due to (1) spending down special multi-year funding sources, particularly ARPA funds, and (2) planned transfer of HUD Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) funding to another Homeless Service agency. Specific changes related to each program are summarized as follows:

Area Agency on Aging......-25%-\$1,852,413 Decrease is primarily due to spending down multi-year allocation of ARPA funds related to aging services. Most non-ARPA programs are expected to see slightly increased allocations due to the increasing share of older adults in our region. Final allocations were not available during the budgeting process and a budget amendment will be required once final award amounts are provided.

CFCOG Total.....**-12%**....**-\$1,666,833** Area Agency on Aging and Homeless Services decreases are partially offset by increases in Local Government Services and Workforce Development programs. Overall, the budget reflects conservative revenue projections which may increase when final allocations are known.

Administrative Overhead (Indirect).....+\$47,107

Increase is due primarily to general cost inflation, including personnel costs. Overhead costs are included in the LGS, AAA, WFD and CoC budgets as allocated costs. This allocation method is based on cost accounting principles and is reviewed annually by program funding sources, monitors and auditors. The method allocates expenditures and shared expenses that cannot be reasonably be directly charged to specific programs.

Personnel (Salaries and Fringe Benefits)

The total of all salaries and fringes, including temporary personnel, is approximately 21% of the total Council budget. A 4% cost of living salary adjustment for all personnel is included in the proposed budget. In addition, a merit pool for superior performance and longevity pay are proposed pursuant to the Personnel Policy. Any pay adjustments that may occur will be implemented November 1st if final funding allocations allow and after an amendment to the Position Classification and Pay Plan. Pay classifications are assigned separately by the adopted Position Classification and Pay Plan. The Council has an excellent staff and retention of our personnel is critical to the success of the organization as qualified candidates with relevant skills and experience are difficult to find, especially in the current labor market.

Revenues

The Council is funded primarily through grants from federal and State agencies. Federal funds are awarded both directly from federal agencies and passed through State agencies as subawards. Variations in grant cycles and funding notice timelines means final funding levels are typically not known until late in the budgeting process or after budget adoption. Certain funding allocations expire annually, and some allow unspent balances to carryforward to subsequent fiscal years. Federal and State funding levels are projected based on a combination of preliminary and final funding award notices supplied by granting agencies and estimates based on prior year funding levels (conservatively estimated until final funding notices are provided).

Federal funds in the proposed budget are awarded directly by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Southeast Crescent Regional Commission (SCRC). State funds and federal subawards in the proposed budget are awarded by the NC Department of Commerce, Department of Health and Human Services, Department of Transportation, Department of Public Safety, and Department of Insurance. Additional federal and State funds are subawarded by the NC Association of Regional Council of Governments.

Local funds in the proposed budget are obtained from dues and grant matching funds from member governments and from fee-for-service contracts. Dues are calculated using a base assessment of \$300 plus eighteen cents per capita for municipalities or nine cents per capita (unincorporated) for counties. Local matching funds are assessed to members to meet federal matching requirements for the Area Agency on Aging and the Rural Transportation Planning Organization.

Local funds from fee-for-service contracts include recurring funding commitments to support administrative operations of the Homeless Continuum of Care and non-recurring contracts to provide single-purpose services to member governments. Non-recurring contracts in the proposed budget include technical assistance contracts for services provided by our Local Government Services staff for a wide variety of services. LGS services to member jurisdictions include major deliverables like land use plans, development ordinance revisions, and utility asset management plans, as well as technical services like facilitation, mapping and land use administration. Revenues represented in this budget include a significant amount of pass-through funds paid to county aging services providers and homeless service agencies, as well as significant funding paid for contracted services, primarily for Workforce Development activities.

Summary

This budget reflects a lot of fine tuning to absorb increased operating costs and expanding program demands as well as local, State, and federal funding changes. We rely heavily on State and federal grants, but also depend upon the continued financial support of our member governments. We hope to continue the positive trends into the future and will aggressively be seeking new opportunities to better serve our region and local government members.

As you review the document, please feel free to ask any questions and seek additional information about any part of the budget at any time. We are committed to serving our region together so that each member will realize the local benefits of regional cooperation.

Respectively submitted,

Allen Serkin Executive Director

COMPARATIVE BUDGET ANALYSIS

	FY 23-24 Approved	FY 24-25 Proposed	C \$	hange	%
Local Government Services:					
Revenues:					
NCDOT	106,375	145,350			
Service Contracts	433,933	309,481			
Direct Federal Grants	12,314	24,000			
Dues/Match/Other	750,412	895,650	_		
Department Revenue	1,303,034	1,374,481	:		
Expenditures:					
Contract Services	472,791	317,481			
Transportation Planning	135,370	153,000			
Disaster Recovery/ARPA	682,558	680,000			
SCRC		24,000			
Flood Mitigation	-	200,000			
MOTSU JLUS Implementation	12,314	-			
Department Expenditure	1,303,033	1,374,481	\$ 7	1,448	5%
Area Agency on Aging:		-			
Revenues:					
State/Federal Funds	7,225,939	5,368,948			
Local Match	82,617	87,195			
Department Revenue	7,308,556	5,456,143	•		
Evenenditures					
Expenditures: Planning and Administration	522 470	112 510			
LTC Ombudsman and Elder Abuse	532,470 246,411	443,519 256,932			
	130,204	142,445			
Family Caregiver Support Program Project CARE	111,000	142,445			
Provider Contracts	6,288,471	4,493,247			
Department Expenditure	7,308,556	<u>4,473,247</u> 5,456,143	\$ (1,85)	2,413)	-25%
		-	• •		
Workforce Development:					
Revenues:					
WIOA Funds	4,340,945	4,625,745			
Department Revenue	4,340,945	4,625,745	:		
Expenditures:					
Administration	432,021	286,808			
Adult Program	1,088,611	1,390,605			
Dislocated Worker Program	845,039	863,771			
Youth Program	1,475,274	1,684,171			
Other	500,000	400,390			
Department Expenditure	4,340,945	4,625,745	\$ 284	4,800	7%

-

COMPARATIVE BUDGET ANALYSIS

Homeless Services:					
Revenues:					
Local-Administration	86,500	82,803			
Local-Match	89,775	52,096			
HUD CoC	527,515	397,382			
ESG HMIS	194,112	163,749			
ESG Administration	12,308	12,281	_		
Department Revenue	910,210	708,311	=		
Expenditures:					
HS Administration	86,500	82,803			
HUD Coordinated Entry	151,250	232,099			
HUD Planning and PSH	466,040	217,379			
ESG HMIS	194,112	163,749			
ESG Administration	12,308	12,281			
Department Expenditure	910,210	708,311	\$	(201,900)	-22%
General Government		-			
Revenues:					
Misc.& Dues	35,000	66,232			
Department Revenue	35,000	66,232	-		
Expenditures:					
Miscellaneous	35,000	21,000			
Unobligated Dues	-	45,232			
Department Expenditure	35,000	66,232	\$	31,232	89%
Total Revenues	\$ 13,897,745	\$ 12,230,912	_		
Total Expenditures	\$ 13,897,744	\$ 12,230,912	\$ (1,666,833)	-12%
Administrative Overhead from Programs	\$ 496,153	\$ 543,260	¢	47,107	9 %

	1	WEIMBER AS	3E33///EIN13)					
County/	Pop.	Pop.	Pop.	Du	ves/Match	Du	ves/Match		
Municipality	July '21	July '22	Change		23-24		24-25	C	hange
		-		\$	20 475	\$	32,309	\$	
1 Brunswick County	68,042 282	71,574 292	3,532	φ	30,475	φ		φ	1,834
2 Bald Head Island			10		351 743		353		2
3 Belville	2,462	2,522	60				754		11
4 Boiling Spring Lakes	6,163	6,424	261		1,409		1,456		47
5 Bolivia	152	175	23		327		332		5
6 Calabash	2,121	2,208	87		682		697		15
7 Carolina Shores	4,849	5,197	348		1,173		1,235		62
8 Caswell Beach	403	409	6		373		374		1
9 Holden Beach	968	1,014	46		474		483		9
10 Leland	25,459	28,126	2,667		4,883		5,363		480
11 Navassa	1,452	1,559	107		561		581		20
12 Northwest	746	833	87		434		450		16
13 Oak Island	8,855	9,346	491		1,894		1,982		88
14 Ocean Isle Beach	922	978	56		466		476		10
15 Sandy Creek	259	276	17		347		350		3
16 Shallotte	4,347	4,528	181		1,082		1,115		33
17 Southport	4,226	4,510	284		1,061		1,112		51
18 St. James	7,011	7,541	530		1,562		1,657		95
19 Sunset Beach	4,300	4,465	165		1,074		1,104		30
20 Varnamtown	531	538	7		396		397		1
Brunswick Total	143,550	152,515	8,965	\$	49,767	\$	52,580	\$	2,813
21 Columbus County	36,120	35,745	(375)	\$	17,515	\$	17,562	\$	47
22 Boardman	166	165	(3/3)	Ψ	330	Ψ	330	Ψ	~/
23 Bolton	637	633	(1)		415		414		- (1)
23 Bonon 24 Brunswick	929	973	(4)		413		475		(1) 8
	131	132	44		324		324		0
25 Cerro Gordo			-						- (2)
26 Chadbourn	1,571 713	1,555 708	(16)		583		580		(3)
27 Fair Bluff			(5)		428		427		(1)
28 Lake Waccamaw	1,325	1,311	(14)		539		536		(3)
29 Sandyfield	429	427	(2)		377		377		-
30 Tabor City	3,643	3,726	83		956		971		15
31 Whiteville	4,705	4,676	(29)	é	1,147	ŕ	1,142	*	(5)
Columbus Total	50,369	50,051	(318)	\$	23,081	\$	23,138	\$	57
32 New Hanover County	100,614	102,768	2,154	\$	41,149	\$	42,598	\$	1,449
33 Carolina Beach	6,584	6,712	128		1,485		1,508		23
34 Kure Beach	2,208	2,226	18		697		701		4
35 Wilmington	118,063	121,309	3,246		21,551		22,136		585
36 Wrightsville Beach	2,474	2,487	. 13		745		748		3
New Hanover Total	229,943	235,502	5,559	\$	65,627	\$	67,691	\$	2,064
				¢		¢		¢	
37 Pender County	53,532	55,274	1,742	\$	24,584	\$	25,600	\$	1,016
38 Atkinson	306	312	6		355		356		1
39 Burgaw	4,203	4,145	(58)		1,057		1,046		(11)
40 St. Helena	425	433	8		377		378		
41 Surf City	3,848	4,120	272		993		1,042		49
42 Topsail Beach	480	493	13		386		389		3
44 Watha	184	194	10	¢	333	¢	335	¢	2
Pender Total	62,978	64,971	1,993	\$	28,085	\$	29,146	\$	1,061
45 Holly Ridge	4,503	4,792	289	\$	1,111	\$	1,163	\$	52
46 North Topsail Beach	1,037	1,059	22		487		491		4
43 Wallace	3,439	3,425	(14)		919		917		(2)
Affiliate Members	8,979	9,276	297	\$	2,517	\$	2,571	\$	54
GRAND TOTAL	495,819	512,315	16,496	\$	169,077	\$	175,126	\$	6,049
				Ť		Ť		т	-//

CAPE FEAR COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2024-2025 BUDGET ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, the Cape Fear Council of Governments (Council) is a regional council of governments organized pursuant to N.C.G.S. 160A-470 through 478;

WHEREAS, the Council is designated by the State of North Carolina as the Lead Regional Organization for Region O, serving Brunswick, Columbus, New Hanover, and Pender counties;

WHEREAS, the Executive Committee is the governing board of the Council;

WHEREAS, a regional council of governments is a public authority subject to N.C.G.S. 159, Article 3, the Local Government Budget and Fiscal Control Act; and

WHEREAS, N.C.G.S. 159-8(a) requires a public authority to operate under an annual balanced budget ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by Executive Committee of the Cape Fear Council of Governments that the following amounts are hereby appropriated for the operation of said Council of Governments for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2024, and ending June 30, 2025:

SECTION 1: Funds are appropriated as follows:

Expenditures by Department:	
Local Governments Services	\$1,374,481
Aging Services	5,456,143
Workforce Development	4,625,745
Homeless Services	708,311
General Government	66,232
Total Expenditures	\$12,230,912
Revenues by Source:	
Federal	\$397,382
Fed/State Pass Thru	10,677,810
State	542,263
Member Assessment	66,232
Local Aging Share	87,195
Local LGS Share	15,650
Local HS Share	134,899
Fee-For-Service	309,481
Total Revenues	\$12,230,912

SECTION 2: The Budget Officer is hereby authorized to transfer appropriations within any of the parts in the budget schedule referenced in Section 1 without the approval of the Executive Committee.

Adopted this 10th day of June 2024.

Mike Forte, Chairman

Knd April D. Radford, Clerk