

Cape Fear RPO

Brunswick County ❖ Columbus County ❖ Pender County

The Cape Fear Rural Transportation Planning Organization exists to serve as the intergovernmental organization for local elected officials, the North Carolina Department of Transportation, and residents of Brunswick, Columbus and Pender Counties to work cooperatively to address transportation issues.

Rural Transportation Coordinating Committee (RTCC) Meeting Agenda

July 3, 2019 • 10:00 AM
Brunswick Community College • Room 207 • Leland, NC

I. INTRODUCTORY BUSINESS

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Introductions
- 3. Additions or corrections to the agenda
- **4. Approval of minutes:** May 1, 2019 (*attached*)
- 5. Public Comment

II. PRESENTATIONS

6. Brunswick County Community Connectivity Plan – Helen Bunch

III. REGULAR BUSINESS

- **7. FY 2019-2020 PWP Amendment** The Planning Work Program (PWP) for the current fiscal year needs to be slightly amended to reflect additional funds/expenditures associated with the NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study grant approved on May 20. *Staff requests a motion to recommend approval of the attached FY 2019-2020 PWP Amendment*.
- **8.** NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study Steering Committee NCDOT approved the NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study grant request. Staff requests a motion to recommend establishment of a Steering Committee pursuant to the attached memo.
- **9.** <u>P6.0 Preliminary Carryover Project Modifications/Deletions</u> The deadline for project modifications and deletions is October 25. *Staff requests a motion to recommend approval of the <u>attached preliminary modifications and deletions</u>.*
- **10.** <u>P6.0 New Project Solicitation</u> The deadline for entering new projects into the P6.0 database is December 20th. The preliminary P6.0 new project submittal list will be presented

Page 1 of 2 6/27/2019

to the RTAC at their September 20th meeting. New project ideas must be submitted to the CFRPO by August 21st to be included on the preliminary project list. *No action required*.

11. <u>NC Ferry Tug Naming Resolution</u> – The NC Ferry Division names vessels after cities and regions in NC. *Staff requests a motion to recommend approval of the <u>attached</u> resolution.*

12. Reports/Updates

- Cape Fear RPO
 - o Prioritization 6.0 (memo and summary <u>attached</u>)
 - o Columbus Co. Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP)
 - o Brunswick Co. CTP
 - o Pender Co. CTP
 - o Topsail Beach Non-motorized Transportation Plan
 - o US 74 Corridor Master Plan
 - o STIP amendments and revisions
- NCDOT Division 3 (Brunswick & Pender)
- NCDOT Division 6 (Columbus)
- NCDOT Transportation Planning Division (TPD)
- Wilmington Area MPO (WMPO)
- Grand Strand MPO (GSATS)

IV. OTHER

13. Open discussion: General questions, comments, and other discussions

14. Future meetings: Wed., Sep. 4, 2019

Wed, Nov. 6, 2019

15. Adjourn

Page 2 of 2 6/27/2019

Cape Fear RPO

Rural Transportation Coordinating Committee May 1st, 2019 Meeting Minutes **DRAFT**

Voting Members Present

Samantha Alsup, Columbus County Helen Bunch, Brunswick County Mike Dickson, Surf City Gordon Hargrove, Lake Waccamaw Travis Henley, Pender County Robert Lewis, Whiteville Caitlyn Marks, NCDOT Division 3 Katie Moore, WMPO Nazia Sarder, NCDOT TPD Allen Serkin, Cape Fear RPO Chad Staradumsky, Burgaw Darius Sturdivant, NCDOT Division 6 Jake Vares, Oak Island

Others Present

Billy Christ, Congressman Rouzer Fred Fontana, AARP

Pat Garrell, Chadbourn Sam Shore, Cape Fear COG

- 1. **Introduction and quorum** Having reached a quorum, Mr. Serkin called the meeting to order at 10:05 AM. The attendees introduced themselves.
- 2. **Changes or additions to the agenda** Mr. Serkin requested an additional item regarding an amendment to the FY 2019-2020 PW be added between the agenda items for recommending approval of the FY 2019-2020 PWP and Reports/Updates. *Mr. Henley made a motion to approve the agenda as amended. Ms. Bunch seconded the motion. The motion carried.*
- 3. **Municipal appointments** –Mr. Dixon nominated Mr. Staradumsky to the vacant Pender Municipal 2 seat. With no other nominations, Mr. Staradumsky was elected to the Pender Municipal 2 seat.
- 4. **Approval of the minutes** There were no changes to the minutes. *Mr. Vares made a motion to approve the minutes. Mr. Staradumsky seconded the motion. The motion carried.*
- 5. **Public Comment** There was no comment from the public.
- 6. **Presentation:** NC Statewide Plan Ms. Sarder provided a presentation discussing NC Moves 2050, the upcoming update to NCDOT's long-range transportation plan, and the process by which NCDOT is gathering input.
- 7. **Prioritization Prioritization 6.0** Ms. Marks provided a presentation explaining the Prioritization 6.0 process. In the course of discussing prioritization, Mr. Sturdivant offered information that as the Durham Light Rail project is no longer moving forward, Durham is

being allowed to reallocate those funds to other projects. Ms. Alsup made a motion directing Mr. Serkin to draft a resolution to be brought to the RTAC expressing concern regarding the funds for the Durham Light Rail Project and that the prioritization process should be followed in determining how funds from cancelled projects are spent. Mr. Lewis seconded the motion. The motion carried.

- 8. **FY 2018-2019 PWP Amendment** Mr. Serkin presented a PWP amendment for the current fiscal year based on expenditures to date. *Ms. Bunch made a motion to recommend approval of the PWP amendment. Mr. Henley seconded the motion. The motion carried.*
- 9. **FY 2019-2020 PWP** Mr. Serkin presented the PWP for the next fiscal year. *Ms. Bunch made a motion to recommend approval of the PWP. Mr. Lewis seconded the motion. The motion carried.*
- 10. **FY 2019-2020 PWP Amendment** Mr. Serkin explained that the RPO applied for funds from the Transportation Planning Division for an East Coast Greenway/NC 211 corridor study. If the RPO gets these funds and is responsible for administering them, they must be accounted for in the PWP. Therefore, Mr. Serkin requested that an alternative PWP be recommended with an additional \$100,000 under the Special Studies line item for the RTAC to adopt if it becomes appropriate. *Mr. Staradumsky made a motion to recommend approval of the PWP amendment. Mr. Vares seconded the motion. The motion carried.*
- 11. **Reports/Updates** Mr. Serkin provided an update on Prioritization 6.0, highlighting the carryover projects and noting that in this prioritization round points may be moved from the Region to Division tier. Mr. Serkin also relayed that NCDOT is working on updating PADT numbers for significant segments across the state through 2022. Mr. Serkin stated that NCDOT is continuing to work on the Columbus County CTP. Mr. Serkin stated that the US 74 Corridor Master Plan was sent back to the drawing board due to the scope being too broad but is coming back around. Mr. Serkin stated the newly completed Topsail Island Bridge did not win an NCDOT Mobi Award. Ms. Marks provided an update for Division 3. Mr. Sturdivant provided an update for Division 6. Ms. Sarder gave an update for the Transportation Planning Division, noting that her unit has been experiencing high turnover and that the Brunswick County CTP is still the next priority. There was consensus among Pender County representatives that this was fine and that their biggest concern regarding their own CTP is ensuring that it covers the whole county. Ms. Moore gave an update for the WMPO. There were no updates from the Grand Strand MPO.
- 12. **Adjournment** There being no further discussion, the meeting was adjourned at 11:36 AM.

Minutes prepared by Sam Shore on May 3rd, 2019

FY 2019-2020 PLANNING WORK PROGRAM ANNUAL PROPOSED FUNDING SOURCES TABLE Cape Fear RPO

| | | RPO PROGRAM FUND | | | OS | | |
|----------------|--|------------------|--------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | |
| TASK | WORK CATEGORY | LC | DCAL | ; | STATE | | |
| CODE | WORK GATEGORY | | | | | | TOTAL |
| | | 2 | 20% | | 80% | | |
| I DATA C | | | | | | | |
| I. DATA C | DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT | \$ | 1,700 | \$ | 6,800 | \$ | 0 500 |
| | | P | 1,700 | - | 0,000 | - | 8,500 |
| I-1.1 | Highway | | | | | | |
| I-1.2 | Other Modes Socioeconomic | | | | | | |
| I-1.3 | Title VI | | | | | | |
| | SPORTATION PLANNING | | | - | | - | |
| II-1 | COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (CTP) | \$ | 1,600 | \$ | 6,400 | \$ | 8,000 |
| II-1.1 | Develop CTP Vision | Ψ | 1,000 | <u>Ψ</u> | 0,400 | <u> </u> | 0,000 |
| II-1.2 | Conduct CTP Needs Assessment | | | | | | |
| II-1.3 | Analyze Alternatives and Environmental Screening | | | | | | |
| II-1.4 | Develop Final Plan | | | | | | |
| II-1.5 | Adopt Plan | | | | | | |
| II-2 | PRIORITIZATION | \$ | 2,600 | \$ | 10,400 | \$ | 13,000 |
| II-2.1 | Project Prioritization | | _, | Ť | , | Ť | , |
| II-3 | PROGRAM AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT | \$ | 1,000 | \$ | 4,000 | \$ | 5,000 |
| II-3.1 | STIP Participation | | | | | | , |
| II-3.2 | Merger / Project Development | | | | | | |
| II-4 | GENERAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING | \$ 2 | 25,600 | \$ | 102,400 | \$ | 128,000 |
| II-4.1 | Regional and Statewide Planning | | | | | | , |
| II-4.2 | Special Studies, Projects and Other Trainings | | | | | | |
| III. ADMIN | IISTRATION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND | PO | LICIES | | | | |
| III-1 | ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES | \$ | 5,300 | \$ | 21,200 | \$ | 26,500 |
| III-1.1 | Administrative Documents | | | | | | |
| III-1.2 | TCC / TAC Work Facilitation; Ethics Compliance | | | | | | |
| III-1.3 | Program Administration | | | | | | |
| | CT COSTS | | | | | | |
| IV-1 | PROGRAMMATIC DIRECT CHARGES | \$ | 2,000 | \$ | 8,000 | \$ | 10,000 |
| IV-1.1 | Program-wide Direct Costs | | | | | | |
| IV-2 | ADVERTISING | \$ | 200 | \$ | 800 | \$ | 1,000 |
| IV-2.1 | News Media Ads | | | | | | |
| IV-3 | LODGING, MEALS, INCIDENTALS | \$ | 300 | \$ | 1,200 | \$ | 1,500 |
| IV-3.1 | Hotel Costs | | | | | | |
| IV-3.2 | Meal Costs | | | | | | |
| IV-3.3 | Incidentals | | | | | | |
| IV-4 | POSTAGE | \$ | 20 | \$ | 80 | \$ | 100 |
| IV-4.1 | Mailings | | | | | | 1 222 |
| IV-5 | REGISTRATION / TRAINING | \$ | 200 | \$ | 800 | \$ | 1,000 |
| IV-5.1 | Conference Registration | | | | | | |
| IV-5.2 | Meeting / Workshop / Training Fees | <u> </u> | 640 | • | 0.500 | <u> </u> | 2.000 |
| IV-6 | TRAVEL | \$ | 640 | \$ | 2,560 | \$ | 3,200 |
| IV-6.1 | Mileage Reimbursement | | | | | | |
| IV-6.2 | Car Rental Costs | | | | | | |
| IV-6.3 | Other Travel Expenses ECT COSTS | | | | | | |
| V. INDIK | INDIRECT COSTS | \$ | 6,034 | \$ | 24,135 | \$ | 20.460 |
| V-1.1 | | P | 0,034 | À | 24,133 | Ā | 30,169 |
| V-1.1 TOTAL | Incurred Indirect Costs | ¢ | 17 104 | ¢ | 189 775 | ¢ | 235,969 |
| TOTAL | | À 4 | 47,194 | À | 188,775 | Ψ | 255,909 |

Approved by the TAC on: _____20__

Signature, TAC Chairman

Signature, RPO Secretary

FY 2019-2020 PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AMENDMENT TABLE Cape Fear RPO

| Original Budgeted Amount I. DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT \$ 8,500 \$ - \$ 8,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 126,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation of TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| Original Budgeted Amount I. DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT \$ 8,500 \$ - \$ 8,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | oie | |
| Net Change Net Change Regular | | ale. 1/10/20 | | w 1st | |
| Budgeted Amount I. DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT \$ 8,500 \$ - \$ 8,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | Net | | | |
| Amount I. DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT \$ 8,500 \$ - \$ 8,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. | _ | Change | 1 | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING | Amount | | | • | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING | I. DATA COLLI | ECTION AND | ASSES | SMENT | |
| ### ITEM NOTE TO THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLAN INTERPRE | \$ 8,500 | \$ - | \$ | 8,500 | |
| ### ITEM NOTE TO THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN IN THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLANNING SET OF THE PLAN INTERPRETOR OF THE PLAN INTERPRE | Drovido oval | anation for | mavina | fundo | |
| III. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| \$ 8,000 \$ - \$ 8,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | 110111 0110 | outogory t | o anom | 01. | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. | II. TRANSI | PORTATION | PLANNI | NG | |
| from one category to another. \$ 13,000 | \$ 8,000 | \$ - | \$ | 8,000 | |
| from one category to another. \$ 13,000 | | | | , | |
| from one category to another. \$ 13,000 | Drovide evol | anation for | movin | a funde l | |
| \$ 13,000 \$ - \$ 13,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 \$ - \$ 5,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 | Hom one | category | .U anou | iei. | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 5,000 | | | | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to an | \$ 13,000 | \$ - | \$ | 13,000 | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 | | | | | |
| from one category to another. \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| \$ 125,000 \$ 3,000 \$ 128,000 | | | | | |
| +\$3000 to Special Studies for NCDOT auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | _ | | |
| auto-generated computer charges to III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| ### III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANS PLANNING & POLICES \$ 26,500 \$ - \$ 26,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. ### IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 | | | | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | _ | | |
| from one category to another. IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | : | | |
| IV. DIRECT COSTS \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| \$ 10,000 \$ - \$ 10,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | с і. | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 | IV. | DIRECT CO | STS | | |
| \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | . , | | <u> </u> | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | | | |
| \$ 1,500 \$ - \$ 1,500 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | . , | т | | • | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 100 | | | | | |
| from one category to another \$ 100 | ه 1,500 | ъ - | <u> </u> | 1,500 | |
| \$ 100 \$ - \$ 100 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | | _ | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | from one | e category t | o anoth | er | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 1,000 \$ - \$ 1,000 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | \$ 100 | \$ - | \$ | 100 | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | Ψ | , , | | |
| from one category to another \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | \$ 1,000 | \$ - | \$ | 1,000 | |
| \$ 3,200 \$ - \$ 3,200 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | Provide expl | lanation for | moving | , funds | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | from one | e category t | o anoth | er | |
| from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 | \$ 3,200 | \$ - | \$ | 3,200 | |
| from one category to another V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 | Provide expl | anation for | movinc | funds | |
| V. INDIRECT COSTS \$ 30,169 | | | | | |
| \$ 30,169 \$ - \$ 30,169 Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | 3-7 | | | |
| Provide explanation for moving funds from one category to another | | UDIDECE C | ~~~ | | |
| | V. I | _ | | 20.420 | |
| φ 232,309 \$ 3,000 \$ 235,969 | V. II \$ 30,169 | \$ - | \$ | | |
| | V. II \$ 30,169 Provide explanation fo | \$ - | \$ n one category | y to another | |

| Approved by the TAC on: | 20 |
|-------------------------|----|

FY 2019-2020 PLANNING WORK PROGRAM Narrative Cape Fear RPO

I. DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT

I-1 DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT

8,500.00

I-1.1 Highway

Collection, analysis, and mapping of highway- and vehicle-related data, including the CFRPO traffic counting program, signalized intersections, etc.

I-1.2 Other Modes

Collection, analysis, and mapping of non-highway-related data, etc.

I-1.3 Socioeconomic

Collection, analysis, and mapping of demographic, socioeconomic, land use, and environmental data, including existing and future land use, zoning, employment, commuting, travel preference data; etc.

I-1.4 Title VI

Collection, analysis, and mapping of demographic data related to Title VI compliance, including minority, poverty, age 65+, English proficiency, vehicle access; etc.

II. TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

II-1 COMPREHENSIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (CTP) DEVELOPMENT

8,000.00

II-1.1 Develop CTP Vision

Study setup and coordination for the Brunswick and Pender Co. CTPs, including Community Understanding Report, meeting coordination, developing CTP vision/goals/objectives, public engagement prior to final adoption, etc.

II-1.2 Conduct CTP Needs Assessment

Review and analyze Brunswick and Pender Co. CTP data and transportation deficiencies; develop project and program alternatives and recommendations; ensure recommendations are developed to achieve all components of CTP vision/goals/objectives; etc.

II-1.3 Analyze Alternatives and Environmental Screening

Review and analyze project and program alternatives against community vision/goals/objectives, natural and human environmental constraints, fiscal reality, funding and maintenance concerns, etc.

II-1.4 Develop Final Plan

Develop and review final written, graphic, and mapping products for the Brunswick and Pender Co. CTPs

II-1.5 Adopt Plan

Coordinate meetings, develop and review presentations, attend public hearings and other meetings, etc. related to final CTP adoption

II-2 PRIORITIZATION

\$ 13,000.00

II-2.1 Project Prioritization

Duties and responsibilities related to implementing STI and other local project prioritization activities

II-3 PROGRAM AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

\$ 5,000.00

II-3.1 STIP Participation

Duties and responsibilities related to reviewing and commenting on STIP additions, modifications, deletions, and drafts; other correspondence with STIP unit; etc.

II-3.2 Merger / Project Development

Review and comment on Merger and other project development documents and attend Merger and other project development meetings

II-4 GENERAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

\$ 128,000.00

II-4.1 Regional and Statewide Planning

Participiate in a regional and statewide planning activities, including Wilmington MPO TCC and MTP, GSATS TCC and MPT, Brunswick Transit TAB, Columbus County Transportation TAB, PAS-TRAN TAB, East Coast Greenway Alliance, Mountains-to-Sea Trail, NCARPO, APA-NC, NCDOT committees, Eastern NC MPO/RPO Coalition, etc.; conduct TRC reviews; review local/reginal/statewide news related to transportation, land use, demographics, socioeconomics, and economic activity

II-4.2 Special Studies, Projects and Other Trainings

Assist member jurisdictions with transportation, land use, environment, demographic/socio-economic, and mapping components of land use plans and ordinances; develop local bicycle and pedestrian plans; develop corridor studies; develop parking inventories and plans; update transit LCPs (as needed); administer and participate in NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study; participate in other special studies or plans as requested or approved by the RTAC; transportation-and GIS- related training, including NCAMPO conference, APA-NC conference, NCAUG fall conference (if held at Carolina Beach, as usual), NCPTA conference NCDOT PTD training conference, NC Transportation Summit, etc.

III. ADMINISTRATION OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AND POLICIES

III-1 ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIVITIES

26,500.00

III-1.1 Administrative Documents

Preparation of Planning Work Plan, PWP amendments, indirect cost allocation plan, quarterly invoices and reimbursement documentation; update of RTCC/RTAC bylaws, RPO MOU, or LPA funding agreement, as necessary

III-1.2 TCC / TAC Work Facilitation; Ethics Compliance

Conduct/attend RTCC/RTAC meetings, including preparation of meeting announcements, agendas, packets, minutes, etc.; coordinate RTCC/RTAC appointments/elections; develop RTCC/RTAC rosters and meeting schedules; ensure RTAC ethics compliance; etc.

III-1.3 Program Administration

Update and implement Public Involvement Plan, Title VI Plan, etc.; maintain and update website and public notice distribution lists; collect and review public comments; ensure program complaince; respond to inquiries from the general public and correspond with other transportation stakeholders on items not otherwise adressed above; staff meetings; general program administration

IV. DIRECT COSTS

IV-1 PROGRAMMATIC DIRECT CHARGES

\$ 10,000.00

IV-1.1 Program-wide Direct Costs

Direct costs including audit, phone and internet, copies and printing, dues and subscriptions, equipment and supplies, insurance, building rent and maintenance, professional services, computer and information technology, etc.

IV-2 ADVERTISING

\$ 1,000.00

IV-2.1 News Media Ads

Public notice advertisements

IV-3 LODGING, MEALS, INCIDENTALS

1,500.00

1,000.00

IV-3.1 Hotel Costs

Lodging costs for overnight program and training activities, including NCMPO conference, APA-NC conference, and NCARPO quarterly meetings

IV-3.2 Meal Costs

Overnight travel-related meal per-diems

IV-3.3 Incidentals

Overnight travel-related incidentals, including tips

IV-4 POSTAGE \$ 100.00

IV-4.1 Mailings

Postage for RTAC packets and other mailings

IV-5 REGISTRATION / TRAINING

IV-5.1 Conference Registration

Registration fees for NCAMPO, APA-NC, and other approved conferences

IV-5.2 Meeting / Workshop / Training Fees

Meeting, workshop, and training fees, etc.

IV-6 TRAVEL \$ 3,200.00

IV-6.1 Mileage Reimbursement

Mileage reimbursement

IV-6.2 Car Rental Costs

Car rental costs

| IV-6.3 Other Travel Expenses | |
|---|---------------|
| Parking costs and other transportation expenses | |
| V. INDIRECT COSTS | |
| V-1 INDIRECT COSTS APPROVED BY COGNIZANT AGENCY FY 18-19 | \$ 30,169.00 |
| V-1.1 Incurred Indirect Costs | |
| Indirect costs per NCDOT-approved indirect cost allocation plan | |
| TOTAL | \$ 235,969.00 |



Cape Fear RPO

Brunswick County • Columbus County Pender County

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 27, 2019 TO: RTCC/RTAC FROM: Allen Serkin

RE: NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study Steering Committee

NCDOT Transportation Planning Division has approved the Statewide Planning and Research (SPR) grant request for an NC 211/East Coast Greenway Feasibility Study. Once the procurement process is complete and a consultant is under contract, a steering committee will guide development of the study. Staff recommends formal establishment of a steering committee and authority for CFRPO staff to determine the actual composition of steering committee based on the following:

- Cape Fear COG/RPO staff
- NCDOT staff, preferably representing Division 3, Transportation Planning Division (funding agency), Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation Division
- Local government staff and/or volunteers from Brunswick County, City of Southport, Town of Oak Island, and Town of St. James representing interests related to transportation, planning, recreation, and tourism
- Local bicycle/pedestrian, recreation, and tourism interest groups
- East Coast Greenway Alliance staff and/or volunteers



Cape Fear RPO

Brunswick County • Columbus County Pender County

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 27, 2019 TO: RTCC/RTAC FROM: Allen Serkin

RE: Prioritization 6.0 Carryover Project Modifications and Deletions

Based on the Draft 2020-2029 STIP, the following projects carryover automatically for P6.0:

| SPOT ID | TIP | Description | Cost to NCDOT |
|------------|---|---|------------------|
| F150235 | F-5705 | Southport-Ft. Fisher Ferry: Acquire an additional river class ferry vessel to reduce expected passenger congestion | \$ 14,000,000 |
| H090133-B | R-2561B | NC 87: Widen to 4 lanes from SR 1730 (Elwell Ferry Road) in Bladen County to NC 11 in Columbus County | \$ 56,100,000 |
| H090133-C | R-2561C | NC 87: Widen to 4 lanes from NC 11 to US 74/76 | \$ 93,000,000 |
| H090236-A | R-3434 | NC 906 (Midway Rd): Widen to 4 Lanes from NC 211 (Southport-Supply Rd) to SR 1501 (Gilbert Rd) | \$ 26,800,000 |
| H090236-B | R-3434 | NC 906 (Midway Rd/Galloway Rd): Widen to 3 lanes on 4-lane right-of-way, and realign the offset intersection of Midway Rd and Galloway Rd with US 17 Business (Old Ocean Hwy) | \$ 45,900,000 |
| H090237-A | R-5876 | Future I-74 (Carolina Bays Pkwy): Construct freeway on new location from the SC state line to US 17 at NC 130 | \$ 366,700,000 |
| H090287-AE | Future I-74 (Delco Bypass): Construct interstate on new location from west of SR 1844 (Oscar Blanks Rd) to east of the Columbus/Brunswick county line | | \$ 83,700,000 |
| H090287-AF | | Future I-74 (US 74/76): Upgrade to interstate standards from east of the Columbus/Brunswick county line to NC 87 (Maco Rd) | \$ 39,300,000 |
| H090287-AG | | Future I-74 (US 74/76): Upgrade to interstate standards from NC 87 (Maco Rd) to SR 1426 (Mt Misery Rd) | \$ 304,000,000 |
| H090287-G | | Future I-74 (US 74/76): Construct interchange at existing at-grade Intersection of US 74/76 and NC 87 (Maco Rd) | \$ 20,000,000 |
| H090806-C | R-5947 | NC 211: Widen to 4 lanes from SR 1112 (Sunset Harbor Road) to NC 906 (Midway Road) per feasibility study WS-40814 | \$ 33,500,000 |

Staff is working with our NCDOT partners to consider the following carryover project modifications:

| SPOT ID | Project Description | Comment |
|------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| H090133-C | NC 87: Widen to 4 lanes from R-2561CA @ Narrow Gap Rd NC 11 to US 74/76 | Modify limits and cost for R-2561CA |
| H090287-AE | Future I-74 (Delco Bypass): Construct interstate on new location from west of SR 1844 (Oscar Blanks Rd) to east of the Columbus/Brunswick county line | Modify alignment and termini per |
| H090287-AF | Future I-74 (US 74/76): Upgrade to interstate standards from east of the Columbus/Brunswick county line to NC 87 (Maco Rd) | FS-H090287 |

CAPE FEAR RURAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE NAMING OF NC FERRY DIVISION TUGS

WHEREAS, the Rural Transportation Advisory Committee is the duly recognized transportation decision making body for the Cape Fear Rural Planning Organization (CFRPO), as authorized under NCGS §136-211; and

WHEREAS, the NCDOT Ferry Division, Albemerle RPO, Cape Fear RPO, Down East RPO, Mid-East RPO, Wilmington MPO, and NCDOT Division 1, 2, and 3 Division Engineers coordinated and supported the Ferry Support Fleet Replacement project (F130001) to replace all tugs and barges in the Ferry Division fleet in Prioritization 4.0; and

WHEREAS, the Ferry Support Fleet Replacement project (F-5703) was fully funded for Federal Fiscal Year 2019 in the 2017-2027 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP); and

WHEREAS, the NC Ferry Division has a tradition of naming NC ferry vessels after towns and regions of coastal NC.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Rural Transportation Advisory Committee of the Cape Fear Rural Transportation Planning Organization hereby supports the naming of the new tugs as follows:

NC-3 tug: WANCHESE

NC-4 tug: MOREHEAD CITY

NC-5 tug: CAPE FEAR NC-6 tug: HOBUCKEN

DV.

NC-7 tug: MANNS HARBOR

ADOPTED at a regular meeting this 10th day of July 2019.

| ATILST. | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Allen Serkin, Secretary | |
| | Allen Serkin, Secretary |

ATTECT.



Cape Fear RPO

Brunswick County • Columbus County Pender County

MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 27, 2019 TO: RTCC/RTAC FROM: Allen Serkin

RE: Prioritization 6.0 update

1. <u>COMMITTED PROJECTS</u>: The Board of Transportation has approved that projects programmed for right-of-way or construction in the first 6 years of the Final 2020-2029 STIP will be considered committed and are not subject to re-prioritization in P6.0. Based on the Draft 2020-2029 STIP, the following CFRPO projects would be considered committed:

| SPOT ID | Mode | TIP | Description Co NC | |
|-----------|----------|---------|---|-------------|
| A150497 | Aviation | AV-5815 | ACZ (Henderson Field) - Construct Apron Expansion (Phase II) and Partial Parallel Taxiway (PC# 2485) | \$2,103,000 |
| B170770 | BikePed | EB-5982 | NC 211 - Construct a sidewalk on the east side of NC 211 (N Howe St) from the ABC Store (end of current sidewalk) to Dosher Hospital | \$204,000 |
| F150236 | Ferry | F-5707 | Southport-Ft Fisher Ferry - Construct additional mooring facilities at Southport terminal | \$5,000,000 |
| H090803-F | Highway | R-5877 | US 17 - Construct a continuous Green T intersection at NC 210 at Surf City | \$2,800,000 |
| H150503 | Highway | R-5850 | NC 53 - Widen to 3 lanes from US 117 Bypass to Stag Park Rd | \$4,200,000 |
| H170193 | Highway | R-5952 | US 701 Bypass/Complex St - Construct an interchange at the intersection of US 701 Bypass and SR 1305 (Complex St) in Tabor City, including partial realignment of US 701 Business (Hickman Rd) and Complex Street | \$7,900,000 |

- 2. CARRYOVER PROJECTS: The Board of Transportation has approved the method for determining which P5.0 projects will carryover automatically to P6.0 and which projects will need to be resubmitted as new projects. There are three categories of carryover projects: (1) uncommitted projects programed in the Final 2020-2029 STIP; (2) projects that will be within the limits of a NEPA document for a project programmed in the Final 2020-2029 STIP; and (3) projects with a NEPA document that was completed after January 1, 2009 or is in-progress and active as of December 31, 2018. These criteria are slightly different from P5.0. The CFRPO projects that would carry over automatically to P6.0 based on the Draft 2020-2029 STIP was provided in a separate memo.
- 3. <u>NEW PROJECT SUBMITTALS</u>: The Board of Transportation has approved the method for determining the number of new project submittals per mode for P6.0. An additional submittal

- for a mode can be obtained by deleting a carryover project for that mode. The CFRPO will receive 19 new submittals per mode for P6.0. This is the same as in P5.0.
- 4. **LOCAL INPUT POINTS**: The Board of Transportation has approved the methodology for determining the number of points available for project assignments. The CFRPO will have 1300 points available to assign to projects at both the Regional Impact and Division Needs categories, with no more than 100 points available to any one project. This is the same as in P5.0.
- 5. **SCORING CRITERIA**: The Board of Transportation has approved the project scoring criteria for Prioritization 6.0, as summarized on the following pages. Of note is that there will now be two different highway scoring criteria for Mobility projects (those that add capacity) and for Modernization projects (those that upgrade to standard geometry without adding capacity).

Prioritization 6.0

Scoring Criteria, Weights, and Normalization for All Modes (with Criteria Definitions)

Recommended by the P6.0 Workgroup to the Board of Transportation on June 6, 2019

The purpose of this document is to outline the criteria, weights, and scoring process recommendations resulting from the P6.0 Workgroup in accordance with the Strategic Transportation Investments law. The goal is the understanding of each mode's scoring within the overall Prioritization process, in order to achieve approval by the Board of Transportation.

Each mode is displayed with criteria and associated weights for the **Statewide Mobility**, **Regional Impact**, and **Division Needs** categories. Following the criteria and weights is a list of definitions for each criteria, specific to each mode.

Below is an example of how criteria (in any mode) are weighted to calculate a quantitative score, and how that score later combines with the Local Input to create a project's total score.

| Quantitative Score vs. Local Input | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Funding | QUANTI | TATIVE | LOCAL | INPUT | | | |
| Category | Dat | a | Division | MPO/RPO | | | |
| Statewide Mobility | Criteria 1 = 30% Criteria 2 = 25% Criteria 3 = 15% Criteria 4 = 10% Criteria 5 = 15% Criteria 6 = 5% | 100% | | | | | |
| Regional Impact | Criteria 1 = 20% Criteria 2 = 20% Criteria 3 = 10% Criteria 4 = 10% Criteria 5 = 10% | 70% | 15% | 15% | | | |
| Division Needs | Criteria 1 = 15% Criteria 2 = 15% Criteria 3 = 10% Criteria 4 = 5% Criteria 5 = 5% | 50% | 25% | 25% | | | |

Highway Criteria & Weights

Mobility Projects (Roadway Widening, Intersection/Interchange Improvements, Access Management):

| Statewide Mobility | | Regional Impact | | | Division Needs | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----|----------------------------|--|--|
| 30% | Congestion | 20% | Benefit-Cost | 15% | Benefit-Cost | | |
| 25% | Benefit-Cost | 20% | Congestion | 15% | Congestion | | |
| 25% | Freight | 10% | Accessibility/Connectivity | 10% | Safety | | |
| 10% | Economic Competitiveness | 10% | Freight | 5% | Accessibility/Connectivity | | |
| 10% | Safety | 10% | Safety | 5% | Freight | | |

Modernization Projects (Modernize Roadway, Upgrade Freeway to Interstate):

| Statewide Mobility | | le Mobility Regional Impact | | Division Needs | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--|
| 25% | Freight | 25% | Safety | 20% | Safety | |
| 25% | Safety | 10% | Freight | 10% | Pavement Condition | |
| 20% | Paved Shoulder Width | 10% | Lane Width | 10% | Paved Shoulder Width | |
| 10% | Congestion | 10% | Pavement Condition | 5% | Freight | |
| 10% | Lane Width | 10% | Paved Shoulder Width | 5% | Lane Width | |
| 10% | Pavement Condition | 5% | Congestion | | | |

Congestion: Measurement of the traffic volume (accounting for seasonal traffic) on the roadway compared to the existing capacity of the roadway, weighted by the traffic volume (accounting for seasonal traffic) along the roadway.

Benefit/Cost: Measurement of travel time savings and safety benefits the project is expected to provide over 10 years compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT, and the amount of other/local funds compared to the total project cost.

Freight: Measurement of truck volume and truck percentage of total traffic on the roadway, and the degree the project is helping to complete a future interstate corridor (if applicable).

Safety: Measurement of the existing severity, frequency, and rate of crashes along the roadway and the safety benefits the project is expected to provide over 10 years.

Economic Competitiveness: Measurement of the estimated percent change in economic activity within the county and the percent change in the number of long term jobs that the project is expected to provide over 10 years.

Accessibility/Connectivity: Measurement of county economic distress indicators and the degree the project upgrades mobility of the roadway, with the goal of improving access to opportunity in rural and less-affluent areas and improving interconnectivity of the transportation network.

Lane Width: Measurement of lane width deficiencies compared to the NCDOT standard for each roadway facility type.

Paved Shoulder Width: Measurement of paved shoulder width deficiencies compared to the NCDOT standard for each roadway facility type.

Pavement Condition: Measurement of overall pavement condition using the NCDOT's pavement condition rating (PCR).

Aviation Criteria & Weights

| Statewide Mobility | | | Regional Impact | | Division Needs |
|--------------------|------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|
| 40% | NCDOA Project Rating | 30% | NCDOA Project Rating | 25% | NCDOA Project Rating |
| 30% | FAA ACIP Rating | 15% | Benefit/Cost | 10% | Benefit/Cost |
| 20% | Benefit/Cost | 15% | FAA ACIP Rating | 10% | FAA ACIP Rating |
| 10% | Constructability Index | 10% | Constructability Index | 5% | Constructability Index |

NCDOA Project Rating: Scores projects based on project categories within the NC Airports System Plan, developed by the NCDOT Division of Aviation (DOA). Points are assigned based on priority and need of the project.

FAA ACIP Rating: Scores projects based on ratings within the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Airport Capital Improvement Plan (ACIP). Ratings are based on critical airport development and capital needs for the National Airspace System (NAS).

Constructability Index: Scores projects based on various measures of a project's readiness for construction.

Benefit/Cost: Measurement of a project's total economic contribution compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT, and the amount of other/local funds compared to the total project cost.

Bicycle/Pedestrian Criteria & Weights

| Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | Division Needs | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|
| (not eligible) | | 20% | Safety | |
| | (not eligible) | 15% | Accessibility/Connectivity | |
| | | 10% | Demand/Density | |
| | | 5% | Cost Effectiveness | |

Safety: Measurement of the number of bicycle and pedestrian crashes, severity of the crashes, crash risk based on existing surroundings, and safety benefit the project is expected to provide.

Accessibility/Connectivity: Measurement of the quantity of destinations near the project, the quantity of connections to existing or planned bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and whether the project improves or connects to a designated bicycle route.

Demand/Density: Measurement of the population and employment density within a walkable or bikeable distance of the project.

Cost Effectiveness: Measurement of total Safety, Accessibility/Connectivity, and Demand/Density criteria scores compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT.

Ferry Criteria & Weights

| Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | | | Division Needs |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----|----------------------------|
| (not eligible) | 20% | Capacity/Congestion | 15% | Asset Condition |
| | 15% | Asset Condition | 15% | Asset Efficiency |
| | 15% | Asset Efficiency | 10% | Accessibility/Connectivity |
| | 10% | Accessibility/Connectivity | 10% | Benefits |
| | 10% | Benefits | | |

Capacity/Congestion: Measurement of the number of vehicles left behind at each departure compared to the total number of vehicles loaded and carried by the route.

Asset Condition: Measurement of the asset condition rating by the NCDOT Ferry Division.

Asset Efficiency: Measurement of the cost effectiveness of continued maintenance of the asset compared to replacement of the asset.

Benefits: Measurement of the monetized value of the number of hours saved by utilizing the ferry route instead of taking the shortest alternative roadway route.

Accessibility/Connectivity: Measurement of the number of jobs, services, and other points of interest near the project.

Public Transportation Criteria & Weights (Mobility Projects)

| Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | | Division Needs | |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| (not eligible) | 25% | Cost Effectiveness | 20% | Cost Effectiveness |
| | 20% | Demand/Density | 10% | Demand/Density |
| | 15% | Impact | 10% | Efficiency |
| | 10% | Efficiency | 10% | Impact |

Impact: Measurement of the trips generated and relieved by the project in 10 years.

Demand/Density: Measurement of the total trips along the project route in 10 years compared to the service area population for the project route.

Efficiency: Measurement of the total trips along the project route in 10 years compared to the total revenue seat hours of the project route in 10 years.

Cost Effectiveness: Measurement of the trips generated by the project in 10 years compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT (annualized by the lifespan of the project).

Public Transportation Criteria & Weights (Demand Response Projects)

| Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact Division Needs | | | Division Needs |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----|--------------------|
| (not eligible) | 25% | Cost Effectiveness | 15% | Cost Effectiveness |
| | 20% | Demand/Density | 15% | Demand/Density |
| | 15% | Efficiency | 10% | Efficiency |
| | 10% | Impact | 10% | Impact |

Impact: Measurement of the trips generated by the project in 10 years.

Demand/Density: Measurement of the total operating hours of the system in 10 years compared to the service area population for the system.

Efficiency: Measurement of the number of vehicles in maximum service by the system compared to the total number of vehicles in the fleet (utilization ratio).

Cost Effectiveness: Measurement of the trips generated by the project in 10 years compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT (annualized by the lifespan of the project).

Public Transportation Criteria & Weights (Facility Projects)

| Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | Division Needs | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| (not eligible) | | 15% | Cost Effectiveness | |
| | (not eligible) | 15% | Impact | |
| | | 10% | Demand/Density | |
| | | 10% | Efficiency | |

Impact: Measurement of the trips generated by the project in 10 years.

Demand/Density: Growth trend of ridership for the system over the previous 5 years.

Efficiency: Measurement of the total trips at the facility with the project in place (passenger facilities), the square footage per employee (administrative facilities), or the number of vehicles per bay (maintenance facilities).

Cost Effectiveness: Measurement of the trips generated by the project in 10 years compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT (annualized by the lifespan of the project).

Rail Criteria & Weights

| Statewide Mobility | | Regional Impact | | Division Needs | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--|
| 35% | Benefit-Cost | 25% | Benefit-Cost | 15% | System Opportunities | |
| 30% | Safety | 15% | Safety | 10% | Benefit-Cost | |
| 15% | System Opportunities | 10% | Capacity and Diversion | 10% | Capacity and Diversion | |
| 10% | Capacity and Diversion | 10% | Economic Competitiveness | 10% | Safety | |
| 10% | Economic Competitiveness | 10% | System Opportunities | 5% | Economic Competitiveness | |

Benefit-Cost: Measurement of monetized benefits compared to the cost of the project to NCDOT, and the amount of other/local funds compared to the total project cost.

Safety: Measurement of crash potential at highway/rail crossings, based on the NCDOT Rail Division's Investigative Index.

System Opportunities: Measurement of the project's degree of access to industrial/commercial development or nearby points of interest, and the degree of interaction between Rail and other modes.

Capacity and Diversion: Measurement of train volume compared to track capacity, and the amount of freight and/or passenger volumes diverted off highways by the project.

Economic Competitiveness: Measurement of the estimated number of full time jobs created in 20 years.

Normalization in P6.0

- Statewide Mobility (only) No normalization, scores are stand-alone for comparison (Highway, Aviation, and Freight Rail eligible projects)
- Regional Impact & Division Needs Allocate funds to Highway and Non-Highway modes based on minimum percentages (shown below)

| Mode | Statewide Mobility | Regional Impact | Division Needs |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Highway | | 90% minimum (Region competition) | 90% minimum (Division competition) |
| Non-Highway | No | 4% minimum | 4% minimum |
| | Normalization | (Statewide competition) | (Division competition) |
| Flex | | 6% | 6% |
| (All Modes) | | (Region competition) | (Division competition) |

Committed Projects – Not subject to re-evaluation in P6.0

 First Right-of-Way (ROW) OR Construction (CON) date in 2020-2025 based on Final 2020-2029 STIP (first 6 years of STIP)

<u>Carryover Projects – Automatically carry over from P5.0 for evaluation in P6.0</u>

Project must meet at least one of the following criteria:

- Programmed in the Final 2020-2029 STIP, but not considered a Committed Project
- Sibling of a programmed project (where unfunded limits are included in a single NEPA/SEPA document)
- Project has a NEPA/SEPA document completed within the last 10 years (2009-2018) or the NEPA/SEPA document is actively being worked on (with scoping meeting completed by 12/31/2018)

Number of Project Submittals for Each Mode

MPOs and RPOs:

- Base of 12 submittals, plus:
 - + One additional submittal for every 50,000 in population
 - + One additional submittal for every 500 centerline miles

NCDOT Divisions:

• 14 submittals each

All submittals must be coordinated with associated MPOs, RPOs, and Divisions.

Number of Local Input Points for Regional Impact and Division Needs Categories

Number of Points per Area:

- Base of 1,000 points, plus:
 - + 100 additional points for every next 50,000 in population
- Maximum 2,500 points per area
- Same allocation of points for Regional Impact and Division Needs categories
- 100 point max per project per category
- Flex Policy (new in P6.0): up to 500 points (half of base allotment) can be transferred between Regional Impact and Division Needs within an organization

HIGHWAY PROGRAM STIP MODIFICATIONS

| | 11/ | S | | NI | _ |
|---|-----|---|---|----|---|
| U | IVI | | w | N | |

| U-5827 WAKE PROJ.CATEGORY DIVISION | SR 1632 (LOUIS STEPHENS DRIVE), POPLAR PIKE LANE IN MORRISVILLE TO SR 2123 (LITTLE DRIVE) IN RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK. CONSTRUCT ROADWAY ON NEW LOCATION. TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL TIME FOR DESIGN AND RIGHT-OF-WAY DELAY CONSTRUCTION FROM FY 19 TO FY 20. | BUILD NC CONST | FY 2020 - FY 2021 - FY 2022 - FY 2023 - FY 2025 - FY 2026 - FY 2027 - FY 2028 - FY 2029 - POST YR- FY 2020 - | \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$86,000 \$430,000 | (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) |
|--|---|----------------|--|---|--|
| DIVISION 6 | | | | | |
| I-5877 HARNETT PROJ.CATEGORY STATEWIDE | I-95, SR 1811 (BUD HAWKINS ROAD) (EXIT 70) AND SR 1001 (LONG BRANCH ROAD) (EXIT 71). IMPROVE INTERCHANGES. TO STRATEGICALLY BALANCE LETTINGS DELAY CONSTRUCTION FROM FY 19 TO FY 20 | CONSTRUCTION | FY 2020 - FY 2021 - FY 2022 - | \$9,738,000 | (T) (T) (T) |
| (R-5020A) (COLUMBUS) (PROJ.CATEGORY) (REGIONAL) | US 701 BYPASS, SR 1166 (PLEASANT PLAINS ROAD) TO SR 1437 (VIRGIL AVENUE). TO STRATEGICALLY BALANCE LETTINGS DELAY CONSTRUCTION FROM FY 20 TO FY 21 | CONSTRUCTION | FY 2021 - FY 2022 - FY 2023 - | \$10,933,000 | (T) (T) (T) |
| R-5020B COLUMBUS PROJ.CATEGORY DIVISION | US 701 BYPASS, SR 1437 (VIRGIL AVENUE) TO US 74/US 76. (TO STRATEGICALLY BALANCE LETTINGS DELAY CONSTRUCTION FROM FY 20 TO FY 21 | BUILD NC CONST | FY 2021 - FY 2022 - FY 2023 - FY 2024 - FY 2025 - FY 2026 - FY 2027 - FY 2028 - | \$1,716,000 \$1,716,000 \$1,716,000 \$1,716,000 \$1,716,000 \$1,716,000 | (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) |

^{*} INDICATES FEDERAL AMENDMENT

8

REVISIONS TO THE 2018-2027 STIP HIGHWAY PROGRAM

CAPE FEAR RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION

STIP MODIFICATIONS

| R-5021 BRUNSWICK PROJ.CATEGORY DIVISION | - CAPE FEAR RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION | NC 211, SR 1500 (MIDWAY ROAD) TO NC 87. WIDEN TO MULTI-LANES. TO STRATEGICALLY BALANCE LETTINGS, DELAY CONSTRUCTION FROM FY 19 TO FY 20. | CONSTRUCTION | FY 2021 - FY 2022 - | \$345,000 \$21,005,000 \$21,350,000 \$21,350,000 \$21,350,000 \$85,400,000 | (BG5200) (BG5200) (BG5200) |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| (R-5701) (PENDER) (PROJ.CATEGORY) (REGIONAL) | - CAPE FEAR RURAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION | NC 53, US 117 BUSINESS (WALKER) STREET/WILMINGTON STREET). IMPROVE INTERSECTION. ADD RIGHT-OF-WAY AND UTILITIES IN FY 20 NOT PREVIOUSLY PROGRAMMED. | RIGHT-OF-WAY UTILITIES CONSTRUCTION | FY 2020 - FY 2020 - FY 2020 - FY 2020 - | \$10,000 \$150,000 \$400,000 \$1,700,000 \$2,260,000 | (L) (T) |

Thursday, June 6, 2019

^{*} INDICATES FEDERAL AMENDMENT

Active Projects: STIP/Bridge/Safety/Maintenance/Resurfacing, etc.

C203988 (B-5332)

REPLACE BRIDGE #130 OVER CEDAR CREEK ON SR 1005 (PEACOCK ROAD)

Let Date: 7-18-2017 Work Began: 3-15-2018

Original Completion Date: 2-7-2019 Revised Completion Date: 4-8-2019

Contractor: The Tara Group of Lumberon INC

Contract Amount: \$520,434

PROJECT COMPLETE

C204040 (B-5699, B-5700, B-5711)

REPLACE 1 BRIDGE IN COLUMBUS COUNTY (B-5699), 1 BRIDGE IN CUMBERLAND COUNTY (B-5700) AND REPLACE 1 BRIDGE IN HARNETT

COUNTY (B-5711); COLUMBUS CO: (B-5699) REPLACE BRIDGE #68 ON NC 214

Let Date: 6-6-2017 Work Began: 11-27-2017

Estimated Completion Date: 11-15-2018

Scheduled Progress: 100% Actual Progress: 66.58% Contractor: Smith-Rowe Contract Amount: \$5,491,634 Columbus Project Cost: \$1,250,000

C204115 (R-5749)

CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE AT US 74-76 AND SR 1001 (HALLSBORO ROAD)

R/W Date: 3-31-17 Let Date: 2-20-2018 Work Began: 4-25-2018

Original Completion Date: 11-19-2020 Revised Completion Date: 2-25-2021

Scheduled Progress: 32% Actual Progress: 36.43%

Contractor: BMCO Construction INC Contract Amount: \$9,398,677

HALLSBORO ROAD WILL REMAIN CLOSED UNTIL CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW INTERCHANGE IS COMPLETE

C204133 (W-5601EV)

US 74 IMPROVEMENTS - CONSTRUCT DIRECTIONAL CROSSOVERS ON US 74 FROM SR 1824 WATER TANK RD TO JUST EAST OF THE

BRUNSWICK COUNTY LINE IN COLUMBUS COUNTY

R/W Date: 6-30-2017 Let Date: 8-21-2018 Work Began: 1-9-2019

Estimated Project Completion Date: 12-2020

Scheduled Progress: 12% Actual Progress: 16.61%

Contractor: Highland Paving Co LLC Estimated Project Cost: \$3,255,000

Active Projects: STIP/Bridge/Safety/Maintenance/Resurfacing, etc.

DF00169

REPLACE BRIDGE #84 OVER JUNIPER SWAMP ON SR 1119 (WRIGHT ROAD), BRIDGE #196 OVER GREENS BRANCH ON SR 1003 (SILVER SPOON ROAD) AND BRIDGE #198 OVER WEST PRONG CREEK ON SR 1546 (BILL HOOKS ROAD)

Let Date: 6-21-2017 Work Began: 1-17-2018

Original Completion Date: 1-24-2019 Revised Completion Date: 5-9-2019 Contractor: Civil Works Contracting LLC

Contract Amount: \$1,754,870

PROJECT COMPLETE

DF00201

RESURFACING, WIDENING & PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON NC 11, SR 1150, SR 1730, SR 1843 & VARIOUS

SECONDARY ROUTES IN BLADEN AND COLUMBUS COUNTIES (16.44 MILES)

Let Date: 3-7-2018 Availability Date: 4-4-2018 Work Began: 5-3-2018

Original Completion Date: 8-30-2019 Revised Completion Date: 9-13-2019

Scheduled Progress: 70% Actual Progress: 47.49% Contractor: Barnhill

Contract Amount: \$2,052,738

DF00202

RESURFACING, WIDENING & PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON VARIOUS SECONDARY ROUTES IN BLADEN AND COLUMBUS COUNTIES (30.07

MILES)

Let Date: 3-7-2018 Work Began: 6-4-2018

Original Completion Date: 8-30-2019 Revised Completion Date: 9-16-2019

Scheduled Progress: 52% Actual Progress: 88.22% Contractor: Barnhill

Contract Amount: \$4,380,068

DF00229 (W-5706P)

INSTALL THERMOPLASTIC PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON VARIOUS SECONDARY ROUTES IN BLADEN, COLUMBUS, CUMBERLAND AND

HARNETT COUNTIES Let Date: 8-15-2018 Work Began: 2-14-2019

Estimated Completion Date: 8-30-2019

Scheduled Progress: 54% Actual Progress: 68.17%

Contractor: Performance Sealing & Striping INC

Contract Amount: \$1,466,415

DF00219

RESURFACING, MILLING, MAT COAT, WIDENING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON NC 130 AND SR 1740 IN COLUMBUS COUNTY

Let Date: 6-6-2018 Work Began: 4-23-2019

Estimated Completion Date: 6-30-2020

Scheduled Progress: 8% Actual Progress: 61.75%

Contractor: S.T. Wooten Corporation Contract Amount: \$4,414,924

Active Projects: STIP/Bridge/Safety/Maintenance/Resurfacing, etc.

DF00236 (B-6039)

REPLACE BRIDGE #211 ON SR 1904 (RED BUG ROAD) OVER JOCKEY BRANCH

Let Date: 10-17-2018 Work Began: 2-18-2019

Original Completion Date: 11-25-2019 Revised Completion Date: 12-25-2019

Scheduled Progress: 69% Actual Progress: 76.44%

Contractor: The Tara Group of Lumberton INC

Contract Amount: \$609,374

DF00240 (SS-4906DJ)

REPLACE BRIDGE# 222, 226 & 230 ON SR 1700 (RED HILL ROAD) OVER RED HILL SWAMP IN COLUMBUS COUNTY

Let Date: 2-20-2019 Work Began: 5-28-2019

Original Completion Date: 10-13-2020 Revised Completion Date: 11-9-2020

Scheduled Progress: 8% Actual Progress: 4.99%

Contractor: The Tara Group of Lumberton INC

Contract Amount: \$2,721,617

Upcoming Projects: STIP/Bridge/Safety/Maintenance/Resurfacing Projects, etc.

DF00200

ASPHALT SURFACE TREATMENT ON VARIOUS SECONDARY ROUTES IN COLUMBUS COUNTY

Let Date: 2-7-2018 Availability Date: 4-1-2018

Estimated Completion Date: 6-15-2019

Scheduled Progress: 0% Actual Progress: 0% Contractor: Riley Paving INC Contract Amount: \$1,359,074

DF00203

RESURFACING, MILLING, WIDENING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON VARIOUS SECONDARY ROUTES AND NC 130 AND NC 905 IN

COLUMBUS COUNTY Let Date: 3-7-2018 Availability Date: 4-2-2018

Estimated Completion Date: 8-30-2019

Scheduled Progress: 0% Actual Progress: 0% Contractor: Barnhill

Contract Amount: \$3,469,047

DF00233

RESURFACING, MILLING, PAVEMENT INTERLAYER, WIDENING, PAVEMENT MARKINGS ON US 701 BYPASS AND VARIOUS SECONDARY

ROUTES (31.4 miles) Let Date: 10-17-2018

Original Completion Date: 10-30-2020 Revised Completion Date: 11-6-2020

Scheduled Progress: 0% Actual Progress: 0%

Contractor: Barnhill Contracting Company

Contract Amount: \$4,448,417

C204272 (B-6006)

REPLACE BRIDGE #313 ON SR 1159 (OLD TRAM ROAD) OVER BOOGY BRANCH IN COLUMBUS COUNTY. ALSO INCLUDES REPLACING 2

BRIDGES IN BLADEN COUNTY AND 1 BRIDGE IN ROBESON COUNTY

Let Date: 1-15-2019 Availability Date: 2-25-2019

Estimated Project Completion Date: 8-1-2021

Scheduled Progress: 0% Actual Progress: 0%

Contractor: The Tara Group of Lumberton INC

Contract Amount: \$2,745,918

R/W & Construction for Bridge #313: FY 2019

R-2561C

Widen NC 87 to multilanes from NC 11 to US 74/76

R/W & Utilities Date: FY 2025 Construction date to begin: FY 2027 Estimated Project Cost: \$87,225,000

R-2561CA

NC 87 at NC 11 convert at-grade intersection to interchange

R/W & Utilities: FY 2020 Let Date: FY 2021

Estimated Project Cost: \$22,500,000

Upcoming Projects: STIP/Bridge/Safety/Maintenance/Resurfacing Projects, etc.

R-5013

Intersection improvements at US 701 and NC 410 (Joe Brown Highway)

Construction to Begin: FY 2020 Estimated Project Cost: \$1,570,000

R-5819 & R-5820

US 74/76 at SR 1740 (Old Lake Road) and US 74/76 at SR 1735 (Chaunceytown Road) improvements

R/W acquisition & Utilities: FY 2020

Let Date: FY 2021

Construction to Begin: FY 2022

Estimated Project Cost (R-5819): \$11,235,000 Estimated Project Cost (R-5820): \$20,497,000 Combined Estimated Project Cost: \$31,732,000

FY 2018-27 STIP Amendment to include PROJECT COST INCREASE from \$11,082,000 to \$31,732,000

PUBLIC MEETING WAS HELD MAY 30, 2019

R-5020 A

US 701 Bypass from South of SR 1166 (Pleasant Plains Road) to SR 1437 (Virgil Avenue). Widen to multilanes.

R/W acquisition: FY 2018 Original Let Date: FY 2021 REVISED LET DATE: FY 2025 Construction to Begin: FY 2024 Project Cost Estimate: \$39,275,000

PROJECT LETTING HAS BEEN DELAYED FROM JULY 2020 to JULY 2024

R-5020B

US 701 Bypass from SR 1437 (Virgil Avenue) to US 74/US 76. Widen to multilane facility

R/W acquistion: FY 2018 Let Date: FY 2021

Construction to Begin: FY 2021
Project Cost Estimate: \$28,425,000

R-5797

US 74 at SR 1506 (Boardman Road - Macedonia Road) upgrade at-grade intersection to interchange

R/W acquisition: FY 2019 Construction to Begin: FY 2021 Estimated Project Cost: \$15,100,000

R-5952

US 701 BYPASS AT SR 1305 (COMPLEX STREET) - UPGRADE INTERSECTION

Draft STIP Schedule as of Jan 2019 - R/W & Utilities: FY 2025; Construction to Begin: FY 2027

Estimated Project Cost: \$7,900,000

I-6011

I-74, US 74, FROM NC 41 NEAR LUMBERTON TO US 76 NEAR CHADBOURN. UPGRADE US 74 TO INTERSTATE STANDARDS

Draft STIP Schedule as of Jan 2019 - R/W: FY 2027; Construction to Begin: FY 2029

REVISED PROJECT SCHEDULE as of June 2019 - Let Date: FY 2030



STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ROY COOPER
GOVERNOR

JAMES H. TROGDON, III
SECRETARY

Wednesday, July 10, 2019

Nazia Sarder Transportation Engineer NCDOT Transportation Planning Division 1 South Wilmington Street Raleigh, NC 27601

TAC TPD UPDATES CAPE FEAR RPO July 10, 2019

New Business:

Columbus County CTP – The TPD Engineer has addressed all the RPO comments and the RPO is reviewing the latest draft maps and chapter 2.

Brunswick County Model – The new engineer and modeler have taken over the model since the previous engineer and modeler left. As of June 20th, base year estimation has been completed.

NCMoves 2050: The NC Moves Survey closed on June 2nd. The NC Moves team is working on compiling all the survey results.

2020-2029 STIP: Approval will be in September of 2019 instead of the June meeting. The current 2018-2027 STIP will be maintained and amended until adoption.

North Carolina Zero Emission Vehicle Plan:

On October 29, 2018, Governor Roy Cooper signed into law Executive Order No. 80. "North Carolina's Commitment to Address Climate Change and Transition to a Clean Energy Economy." It is a statewide initiative. In response to the executive order, NCDOT has started formulating additional strategies that seek to ensure it is better prepared to adapt to a changing environment. One of the strategies is to encourage more people to register and drive zero-

Mailing Address: NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION PLANNING BRANCH 1554 MAIL SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH NC 27699-1554 Telephone: (919) 707-0900 Fax: (919) 733-9794 Customer Service: 1-877-368-4968

Website: www.ncdot.gov

Location: 1 SOUTH WILMINGTON STREET RALEIGH, NC 27601 emission vehicles. North Carolina Zero Emission Vehicle "ZEV" Plan is North Carolina's plan to get 80,000 All-Electric or "Zero Emission Vehicles" on the road by 2025.

Take a 5-minute survey to let NCDOT know what you need to feel good about going ZEV: https://nczevplan.metroquest.com/

A draft action plan will be completed by June 2019. There will be a statewide public comment period on the draft action plan July to August 2019. The final action plan will be submitted to the governor in October 2019.

Phase 2 will include such tasks as coordinating with local transit agencies and reviewing funding options for NCDOT to buy zero-emission vehicles. Phase 2 is expected to be completed in 2020 with some steps completed by early 2021.

Phase 3 will include such tasks as finalizing efforts to establishing alternative fuel corridors that support zero-emission vehicles and preparing a mid-point ZEV program review.

Old Business:

Traffic Forecasting: The latest P5 list and the draft STIP has been reviewed and all 14 Highway Divisions have been contacted regarding their traffic forecasting priorities. Forecast requests continue to come in from Corridor Studies Groups and this is their "pre-analysis" process. Structures Management's 2023 Project List work also continues.

Strategic Transportation Corridors Master Plans: They have finalized the generic scope with the consultants for all STC plans. They are waiting for consultants to modify their individual scope of services before moving onto the next phase.

NC Statewide Model: CDM Smith and RSG are our consultants for the NC Statewide Model. The model is underway and they are on the SE Data Collections Phase.

Statement Transportation Plan (NC Moves 2050): Consultants are addressing all comments being submitted including the Secretary of Transportation's comments. Groups have been formed to host Table Topics. Staff has been reaching out to different organizations to host table topics with a representative from NCDOT. Spring Table Events continue to be scheduled: the Azalea Festival in Wilmington 4/6-7, Pickle Festival in Mt. Olive 4/13, DMV Special Event in Raleigh 4/13, POW WOW in Hollister 4/20, Hmong Easter Festival in Hickory 4/20-21, DMV Special Event in Greensboro 4/27, Electric Vehicle Car Show in Greensboro 4/27, Lexington Multicultural festival in Lexington 5/4, Latino Festival in Wilmington 5/4, Disabled Veterans Fair in Wilmington 5/11, Got to Be NC in Raleigh 5/17-19. The survey is open now and will be closing out on June 2nd. There is an educational video that has been released.

CTP Study Requests – CTP Study Requests were accepted in Spring of 2018. Studies have been prioritized and assigned based on available resources; mainly as new work plans began in June 2018. Other assignments may occur later as additional resources become available.

Performance Measures – Summary of pending items and deadlines:

- 1. PM2 and PM3 targets (pavement, bridge, and system performance):
 - Per CFR450.324(f)(3), the MTP has to contain a description of the performance measures and the targets used.
- 2. MPO's are required to amend their TIP by updating language within it.
- 3. Data sharing agreements.
 - Recommend executing agreement as soon as possible.
- 4. NCDOT submitted the required yearly safety (PM1) targets on August 31, 2018.
 - MPO's have 180 days to support NCDOT's targets or establish their own (Feb 27, 2019).
 - Safety targets are a yearly process.
 - MTP needs to be updated to include these targets.

The jointly written provisions (which are noted in the draft data-sharing agreements) to address FTA's Transit Assessment Management/State of Good Repair (TAM/SGR) performance measures must be completed by October 1, 2018. As information on transit asset conditions as reported by providers of public transportation to the National Transit Database (NTD) will not be available until October 2019, they will need to provide this information directly to their MPO. For additional TAM/SGR information, please see FTA's TAM webpage.

Wilmington Model Update – The model is completed; documentation is completed as of last month.

Pender County CTP – Pender County CTP is on hold for now and will resume when our team has hired more engineers.

Statewide Plan: The N.C. Department of Transportation is conducting an update to its long-range transportation plan to guide North Carolina's future transportation policies and investments. NC Moves 2050 is a plan that will provide a 30-year transportation vision and implementation plan for the state. Changing demographic and economic trends across urban and rural regions of the state, along with anticipated changes in technology, weather, tourism, and funding sources make now an opportune time to produce a bold, forward looking transportation plan to guide investment policy and system performance that will be more reactive to the dynamic change on the horizon.

The engagement of the stakeholders and public is critical during this initial stage of the planning process. To capture thoughts and ideas about the state's biggest challenges for the future, North Carolina residents are encouraged to provide input through our <u>survey</u> through November 30th. The survey can be found at https://publicinput.com/ncmoves. This survey focuses capturing public input about the challenges for our state and the future of our transportation system. Responses will help to inform the vision and goals for NC's transportation plan. We will also have our kickoff stakeholder meetings in Kinston on 10/24/18, Raleigh on 10/29/18, and Charlotte on 10/30/18. We have invited a representative from each MPO, RPO, State Agencies, and State Advocacy Groups across North Carolina.

Training: A recording of the 2017 MPO/RPO Ethics training webinar can be viewed at the following location: https://livestream.com/NC-DOT/events/7161243

Corridor Studies: TPD has recently made the decision to temporarily pause the development of the STC Master Plans in order to reevaluate the scope and deliverables to make it a more useful and effective end product. A work group of internal and external partners to help reexamine this effort is currently being formed.

Wilmington CTP – With the model update, WMPO has also asked TPD to start on the Wilmington CTP update. We have yet to develop a schedule for this CTP and start – but once the Wilmington Model is fully completed, TPD will develop a schedule.

RPO Program Reviews: FHWA submitted their findings and recommendations for improvement to NCDOT. TPD has developed an action plan to address the recommendations. FHWA, NCDOT and the RPO Administrative Documents Committee with collaborate on the implementation of resulting changes.

CMAQ: Applications for funding in FFY 2019 were due by March 15, 2018.

Traffic Forecast: The Traffic Forecast shapefile is under development (ArcGIS Online).

HERE Data: HERE Data is available for use for NCDOT business purposes, subsequently being available for RPO use. Data available for travel times and speed measures across the state. Program available at: https://pda.ritis.org/suite

FHWA News: Functional Classification - FHWA has an interactive map that shows the Federal highway system, freight network, fatal crashes, MPO information, and demographic maps at the county level.

Build NC: Governor Roy Cooper on Wednesday June 20, 2018 - signed into law the Build NC Bond Act of 2018, a new financing tool sought by his administration to help expedite critical highway projects. Build NC is a financing tool similar to Garvee bonds and is an important way to move critical projects forward. It is important to note that it is not new revenue, but borrowing authority to implement projects sooner. The focus is for projects in the regional and Division tiers.

CTP 2.0: TPD leadership is reviewing elements for CTP 2.0 and coordinating with the CTP 2.0 steering committee as we prepare for implementation of improved maps, classifications, analysis, plan documentation, and changes to the process for developing CTPs in NC. (A CTP Steering Committee meeting was held June 18, 2018.) Improving the CTPs for NCDOT and local partners has proven to be a complex process with many benefits and "ripple effects" as we move future proposals into project implementation.